1: Braham S-4 (no date) 1954 ED1 Rumania ED1

the right and freedom of citizens to write, publish, or otherwise express their views, except such as are in accord with the given party-line. For the exercise of this right, the state places at the disposal of the "working classes and their organizations, printing presses, stocks of paper, public buildings, the streets (and) communication facilities." Freedom of speech is furthermore restricted by the provisions of the Law for the Defense of Peace, enacted on December 15, 1950. Article 2 of this Law specifically prohibits "propaganda inciting to war, the spreading of tendentious or invented news, of a nature to serve the warmongers, etc. . . ."

Freedom of the press and publication is likewise nonexistent. Two directorates attached to the Council of Ministers are charged with complete supervision over the press, publications of any sort, and their distribution. The Directorate of the Press and Printed Matter, established on May 23, 1949 (Decree No. 218) "authorizes the publication of all printed matter;" the General Directorate of Publishing, Graphic Arts Industry, Book and Press Distribution, established on March 16, 1950 (decree No. 62), "controls the output of publishing houses from the point of view of contents and form." The Communist press is furthermore restricted to the services of the AGERPRESS (the Rumanian Press Agency) which by virtue of Decree No. 217 of May 23, 1949, is the only agency permitted to receive and transmit news. Aside from the nationalization of all printing presses, a governmental decision (Decision No. 69 of the Ministry of the Interior, July 1, 1950) requires the registration with the militia of all hand printing presses, duplicating machines, and "materials needed for the reproduction of printed matter," owned by individuals or associations.

Freedom of assembly is similarly controlled. Excepting the Communist-controlled mass organizations, viz., public organizations, co-operative societies, youth and women's organizations, etc. (Article 86), no independent organization or association is permitted. Anyone attempting to organize or participate in such an organization is liable to prosecution by virtue of Article 86 of the Constitution, prohibiting the organization of or the participation in associations of a "fascist" or "anti-democratic" character. Such participation would furthermore entail "plotting against the internal and external security" of the state, an offense punishable by death under Article 1 of the Law for Punishing Certain Crimes Which Endanger the Security of the State of January 19, 1949.

The right to work is guaranteed by the "socialist transformation" of the economy and the "elimination of the possibility of economic crises and the abolition of unemployment" (Article 77). The right to rest and leisure is ensured by the reduction of the working hours to eight in factories and offices and to less in the more arduous trades.[8] This right is supplemented by

[8] The constitutional provisions relating to the reduction of working hours are puzzling in the light of the introduction of the piece-work system and norms of production (Labor Code of May 20, 1950) and the adoption of the Soviet model of "Stachanovism" and "voluntary labor."

RUMANIA

late C. M. Stephanov, who was also a member of the Rumanian Senate. The main cause of the effervescence that still subsists is this ill-advised scheme of planting the destitute Kutso-Vlachs on the farms of the aboriginal inhabitants, proof of which has been given by M. Georoceanu in a booklet he has published. M. Georoceanu was formerly a member of the Rumanian Senate during M. Maniu's tenure of office.

It may be added that the Bulgarians complain of not having a single school in their villages, and that when they presented petitions for opening such their signatories had been prosecuted. Bulgarian priests are no longer allowed to be nominated, and those that still remain are being gradually replaced by Rumanians who officiate naturally in their own language which is not understood by their flocks. A lesser wrong which receives the condemnation only of the intellectuals, is the ban on Bulgarian newspapers and periodicals. Their introduction into Rumania is prohibited, and though a few months ago the Bucarest press announced that this embargo would be raised, yet as late as April, 1932 the police authorities in the Dobrudja had received no intimation of this commendable measure. It is obvious that all these grievances could easily be removed with a little goodwill on the part of the Rumanian government, and to the westerner it is incomprehensible that the latter should make no effort to safeguard the happiness of hundreds of thousands of human beings whose destiny has been entrusted to its hands. For it is not only the elementary duty of a government to care for its subjects, but in this case even political reasons dictate the following of a more humane and civilized policy. The bulk of the population being Bulgarian, and having for forty years enjoyed an independent existence, is utterly unaccustomed to such maladministration as it is now experiencing. It is therefore natural that it should be soliciting the intercession of the Bulgarian government under whose suzerainty it lived until recently. This is a course that creates friction between the two governments, keeps open an old sore, and embitters and strains relations between Bulgaria and Rumania. The former country would incur every sacrifice in order to ensure Rumania's friendship, and